| approved For Re | elease 2005  | Adni-1<br>104/21 : CIA-RI   | 3, / MFR<br>DP75B00514R00             | Q100 | D/S&T#<br>160013-5    | 1750-7  |  |
|-----------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|------|-----------------------|---------|--|
|                 |  | OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP   |                                       |      |                       |         |  |
| She de          | то   | O NAME AND ADDRESS  |                                       |      | ATE I                 | NITIALS |  |
|                 | 1 Th   | The Director  |                                       |      |                       |         |  |
| * .<br>:<br>:   | 2  |   |                                       |      |                       |         |  |
|                 | 3  |   |                                       |      |                       |         |  |
|                 | 4  |   |                                       |      |                       |         |  |
|                 | 5  |   |                                       |      |                       |         |  |
|                 | 6  |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |      |                       |         |  |
|                 | ACTIO  | N   | DIRECT REPLY                          | +    | PREPARE REI           | PLY     |  |
|                 | APPRO  | VAL   | DISPATCH                              |      | RECOMMENDATION RETURN |         |  |
|                 |  | COMMENT FILE  |                                       |      |                       |         |  |
|                 | CONCL  | IRRENCE   | INFORMATION                           |      | SIGNATURE             |         |  |
|                 | Soviet Laird' We the Cor Laird' impres excerp quoted the oth referri | Remarks: Attached are additional references to Soviet MIRVs in the transcript of Secretary Laird's testimony.  We have talked to Braswell and Woolsey, of the Committee staff, who were present during Laird's testimony. Braswell is under the impression that on page 2496, line 7, of the excerpt I sent you earlier, Laird was correctly quoted as referring to MIRVs. Jim Woolsey, on the other hand, feels at this point Laird was referring to MRVs.  cc: DDI, ADDS&T, |                                       |      |                       |         |  |
|                 |  | D/OSR, FMSAC John M. Maury  Legislative Counsel   |                                       |      |                       |         |  |
|                 |  | FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER   |                                       |      |                       |         |  |

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

OSD REVIEW COMPLETED

DD/S&T FILE COPY 25X1

UNCLASSIFIED

FORM NO. 237

## Approved For Release 2005/04/21: CIA-RDP75B00514R000100160013-5

out the agreement, assuming that they continued their proper construction programs, and where they will be with this agreement, and where we would be with this agreement, and where we would be with this agreement, and where we would be without the agreement. We would be in about the same place. But the Soviet situation shows up differently. And I think these charts are helpful.

Senator Thurmond. Mr. Secretary, if the Soviets develop

a MIRV capability as technologically advanced as our own, couldn't
they develop a warhead superiority over us that would be in the
same proportion as their superiority in total weight of payload?

Secretary Laird. That is correct, assuming that we would not continue our technological improvements, and assuming that we would not go forward with the MIRV 3 program on the rest of our MINUTEMAN, and assuming that we would not go forward with the conversion of the other POLARIS missile system submarines which can be converted to the PSOEIDON.

21.

But we are in a position where we have the technology which they do not possess at the present time. They are now testing a MIRV missile. But the MIRV missile that they are testing, although it has stellar initial guidance, does not have the capability for the same number of warheads that we have the technology for at the present time. We feel that in almost every case we are two years ahead of them as far as technology is concerned, and we feel that it is important for us to maintain